के दिन-प्रतिदिन के कार्यकरण में सुधार करना, सुलह न्यायालयों की स्थापना करना और इसे मोटर दुर्घटना दावा अधिकरण के समक्ष कार्यवाहियों में भी लागू करना आदि, सभी संबद्ध राज्य सरकारों/उच्च न्यायालयों, जिसमें इलाहाबाद उच्च न्यायालय भी सम्मिलित है, को आवश्यक अनुवर्ती कार्रवाई के लिए भेज दी गई हैं।

**Boycott of Courts by advocates**

1994. SHRI SATISH PRADHAN: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether corrupt practices by judges is one of the reasons for boycotting the courts by advocates which is on the increase since last two years;

(b) if so, the details in this regard and loss in number of working days of the court during the last two years;

(c) whether any enquiries were instituted against the judges for alleged corruption charges during the last three years: if so, the details thereof;

(d) the action taken against the corrupt Judges; and

(e) what are the other reason; for which the courts were boycotted by advocates?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE! MINISTRY OF LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI H R. BHARDWAJ); (a) to (e) The information is being collected and will be laid on Table of the House.

**Delimitation of constituencies**

1995 SHRI MENTAY PADMANABHAM: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether the issue of electoral reforms in particular the delimitation of constituencies and the rotation of continuously reserved seate in Legislatures has now been shelved; and

(b) if so, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI H R. BHARDWAJ): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

**Constitutional cases pending before the Supreme Court of, India**

1996. SHRI TINDIVANAM G. VENKATRAMAN;

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

*(a) the* nurn&er of *comtitational* cases referred to full bench of Supreme Court of India are presently pending for disposal;

(b) the number of constitutional cases ihe Court disposed off during the last three years; and

(c) the steps taken to dispose of the sume quickly?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI H R. BHARDWAJ): (a) to (c) The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.

**Inevstment and amount of dividends distributed by PSUs**

1997. DR. NAUNIHAL SINGH: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) what is amount of investment in Pubilc Sector and what amounts of divi dend have been distributed;

(b) what is the number of Undertakings in the Public Sector and the number out of them which have not declared divi dents, if so, the reasons therefor;

(c) whether Government are considering to reverse the situation to influence the fiscal front; and

(d) if GO, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT) WITH ADDITIONAL CHARGE OF THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (DEPARTMENT OF HEAVY INDUSTRY) (SMT. KRISHNA SAHI): (a) to (d) As on 31-3-1992 the investment in Central Public Sector Undertakings stood at Rs. 135871 crores. 134 enterprises earned profit during 1991-92 and 62 out of them declared dividends amounting to Rs. 654.23 crores Declaration of dividend in the policy matter of the individual enterprise and depends upon the profit earntd, the fund flow, the cumulative reserve available, etc., based on which decision is taken by the Board of Directors of the Company.

**Amendment to the Advocates Act**

1998. SHRI KRISHNA KUMAR BIRLA: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state.-

(a) whether it is a fact ,that due to protest by advocates to the introduction of an amendment Bill to the Advocates Act the functioning of the courts have been cripped in the capital;

(b) if so, the demands of the lawyers;

(c) whether Government propose to re consider the amendments to the Act; and

(d) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI H. R. BHARDWAJ): (a) and (b) The lawyers in Delhi remained on strike on 19th March, 1993 to protect against the proposed amendment to section 30 of the Advocate* Act as contained in the Ad-vocateg (Second Amendment) Bill, 1992 and for the immediate enforcement of section 30 of the Advocates Act, 1961.

(a) and (d) The matter is Ader consideration of the Government.

**Proposal to amend Companies Act**

1999. SHRI KRISHNA KUMAR BIRLA: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Associated Chambers of Commerce and Industry of India has urged Government to recodify the Companies Act; 1156;

(b) whether Government propose to amend the Companies Act in view of the New Industrial Policy;

(c) if so, the details; thereof;

(d) whether the proposal for making changes in the Act has been lying in cold storage for quite sometime resulting in unnecessary restrictions on the day to day working of the companies;

(e) if so, by when the restrictions imposed by the said Act are likely to be removed;

(f) the reaction of Government thereto; and

(g) if the answers to parts (a) & (b) be in negative the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI H. R. BHARDWAJ): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) to (g) The Government proposes to introduce a Bill in the current Session of the Parliament to repeal and re-enact the Companies Act, 1956. The general approach of the Government in making changes in Company Law is to provide for more effective investor protection in view of the rapid growth of the caiptal market and spread of equity culture, rationalisation and streamlining of the provisions of the Act in tune with the changed environment in which manufacturing and other companies must operate and for better management of companies.